## September 2, 2025

The Honorable Susan Collins Chair U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations S-128, The Capitol Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Tom Cole Chair U.S. House Committee on Appropriations H-307, The Capitol Washington, DC 20515 The Honorable Patty Murray Vice Chair U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations S-146A, The Capitol Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Rosa DeLauro Ranking Member U.S. House Committee on Appropriations 1036 Longworth House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairs Collins and Cole, Vice Chair Murray, and Ranking Member DeLauro:

We, the undersigned organizations that support reproductive health, rights, and justice, write to urge you to eliminate the harmful Weldon Amendment from the Fiscal Year (FY) 2026 appropriations package. The Weldon Amendment is a budget rider in the Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and Related Agencies (Labor-HHS) appropriations bill that, for far too long, has allowed personal beliefs, not patient health and the standard of care, to determine the care a patient receives.

Access to reproductive health care—including abortion—is vital to gender justice. The ability to decide whether and when to carry a pregnancy and become a parent is crucial to a person's liberty, equality, and economic security. As our country continues to grapple with the aftermath of the Supreme Court's lawless decision in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, and reports of delayed or denied care for pregnant people have become ubiquitous, Congress must act urgently to protect the freedom of all people to control their bodies, lives, and futures.

## The Weldon Amendment Prioritizes Personal Beliefs Over Patient Health

Since FY 2005, the Weldon Amendment has been attached to the discriminatory Hyde Amendment—a rider that bans the use of certain federal funds to cover abortion, with only limited exceptions—in the annual Labor-HHS bill. While the Weldon Amendment is deceptively written to prohibit "discrimination" against health care entities that refuse to provide, cover, pay for, or refer for abortion, it really allows health care providers—including hospitals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Weldon Amendment, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005, Pub. L 108–447, 118 Stat. 2809 (2005); <a href="https://www.congress.gov/108/plaws/publ447/PLAW-108publ447.pdf">https://www.congress.gov/108/plaws/publ447/PLAW-108publ447.pdf</a>.

and health insurance plans, as well as individual nurses and doctors—to discriminate against patients by refusing to provide the care they need.

By giving a green light to refusals of abortion care, the Weldon Amendment puts patients' health and lives in danger, even in states where abortion is legal. A single instance of refusing to provide care can mean a patient never getting the care they need—or receiving it only after enduring significant delays and harm.<sup>2</sup> There are no provisions in Weldon to protect patient access to abortion services.

The Weldon Amendment is especially harmful because it misuses religion to intimidate states that seek to protect abortion care by threatening critical federal health funding. For example, in December 2020—during the COVID-19 pandemic—the Trump Administration attempted to strip California of \$800 million in federal Medicaid funding annually because state law ensures residents have insurance coverage of abortion.<sup>3</sup>

Previous Administrations issued rules that relied on Weldon and other refusal of care laws to allow virtually any entity involved in health care—from doctors to schedulers to nurses to ambulance drivers—to deny patients care and even information about care. 4 Fortunately, many harmful provisions of these policies were blocked and reversed.<sup>5</sup> but efforts to expand and weaponize the Weldon Amendment continue.<sup>6</sup>

Eliminating the Weldon Amendment is not only critical as a matter of policy, it is also popular with voters. This was evident even before the *Dobbs* decision—a July 2021 poll in battleground congressional districts found that 6 in 10 voters oppose allowing health care providers to refuse treatment to a woman seeking an abortion because of religious or moral

Services, & Education, H.R. 5894 § 245A, 118th Cong.; https://docs.house.gov/meetings/AP/AP07/20230714/116252/BILLS-118--AP--LaborHHS-FY24LHHSSubcommitteeMark.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Refusals to Provide Health Care Threaten the Health and Lives of Patients Nationwide, National Women's Law Center (2023), https://nwlc.org/resource/refusals-to-provide-health-care-threaten-the-health-and-lives-of-patients-nationwide-2/ (last visited May 28, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> HHS to Disallow \$200M in California Medicaid Funds Due to Unlawful Abortion Insurance Mandate; Refers Vermont Medical Center to DOJ for Lawsuit Over Conscience Violations, U.S. DEP'T OF HEALTH & HUM. SERVS. (Dec. 16, 2020),

https://public3.pagefreezer.com/content/HHS.gov/31-12-2020T08:51/https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2020/12/16/hhs-disallow-200m-california-medicaid-funds-due-unlawful-abortion-insurance-mandate.html. In January 2020, the Trump Administration issued a notice of violation to the state of California. See HHS Issues Notice of Violation to California for its Abortion Coverage Mandate, U.S. DEP'T OF HEALTH & HUM. SERVS. (Jan. 24, 2020),

https://public3.pagefreezer.com/content/HHS.gov/31-12-2020T08:51/https://www.hhs.gov/about/news/2020/01/24/hhs-issues-notice-of-violation-to-california-for-its-abortion-coverage-mandate.html. On August 13, 2021, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Office for Civil Rights withdrew its 2020 determination that there had been a Weldon Amendment violation and closed the complaints at issue, finding that the complainants, Skyline Wesleyan Church and Missionary Guadalupanas, did not meet the definition of a "health care entity" under the Weldon Amendment. Letter from Robinsue Frohboese, Acting Director, Off. for C.R., to Rob Bonta, Att'y Gen., State of Cal. (Aug. 13, 2021), https://www.hhs.gov/conscience/conscience-protections/ca-letter/index.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The reach of these proposed rules could have meant a rape survivor could be denied emergency birth control, a transgender person could be denied gender-affirming care, or a pregnant person could be denied information and counseling on their pregnancy options. See Ensuring That Department of Health and Human Services Funds Do Not Support Coercive or Discriminatory Policies or Practices in Violation of Federal Law, 73 Fed. Reg. 78,071 (December 19, 2008) (codified at 45 C.F.R. § 88); Protecting Statutory Conscience Rights in Health Care; Delegations of Authority, 84 Fed. Reg. 23,170 (May 21, 2019) (codified at 45 C.F.R. § 88).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Regulation for the Enforcement of Federal Health Care Provider Conscience Protection Laws, 76 Fed. Reg. 9,968 (February 23, 2011) (codified at 45 C.F.R. § 88); New York v. U.S. Dep't of Health & Hum. Servs., 414 F. Supp. 3d 475 (S.D.N.Y. 2019); Safeguarding the Rights of Conscience as Protected by Federal Statutes, 88 Fed. Reg. 820 (January 5, 2023) (to be codified at 45 C.F.R. § 88).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The FY 24 House-introduced Labor-HHS bill included language attempting to create a private right of action that would allow virtually anyone to bring a case in federal court for an "actual or threatened violation" of the Weldon Amendment. FY 2024 Appropriations Bill for U.S. Departments of Labor, Health and Human

beliefs. In a June 2022 poll of likely 2022 voters, the majority opposed the idea that institutions, including insurance companies (66% of respondents), employers (63%), and hospitals (59%), should be able to refuse abortion care based on personal or religious beliefs. Nearly two-thirds recognized that refusal of care laws put patients' health and lives in danger.8

The need to eliminate the harmful Weldon Amendment is critical in this moment, as states ban abortion and refusals of care worsen, with increasing reports of patients being turned away for essential medical care and urgent medical interventions. <sup>9</sup> Fortunately, both the House and Senate in FY 2022 and 2023 made historic progress by removing the harmful Weldon and Hyde Amendments from their Labor-HHS bills. Congress must demonstrate its commitment to serve the will of the people by removing the Weldon Amendment permanently from its FY 2026 appropriations bills.

## Sincerely,

**Abortion Forward** ACCESS REPRODUCTIVE JUSTICE Advocates for Trans Equality Advocates for Youth **AIDS United** All\* Above All American Association of University Women (AAUW) American Atheists American Humanist Association American Muslim Health Professionals (AMHP) Autistic Self Advocacy Network Autistic Women & Nonbinary Network Caring Across Generations Center for Biological Diversity Center for Policing Equity Center for Reproductive Rights Clearinghouse on Women's Issues Community Catalyst Doctors for America **Equal Rights Advocates Equality California** 

Families USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Hart Research Associates & Vision Strategy and Insights, Polling of 801 registered voters in battleground congressional districts from July 7-12, 2021, on file with the National Women's Law Center.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> GQR, Mixed mode survey among one thousand registered voters nationally from June 22-30, 2022 including Black, Latino, and AAPI over

samples of 100 respondents each, on file with the National Women's Law Center.

Refusals to provide abortion care are increasing following *Dobbs*, and although they are not always due to personal or religious beliefs, recent instances demonstrate the serious harm that can result from a refusal of care. For example, Mylissa Farmer was a Missouri resident who was denied emergency abortion care by multiple hospitals in Kansas, Missouri, and Illinois after her water broke at 18 weeks of pregnancy. Despite the severe threats to Ms. Farmer's health and life and the fact that the pregnancy was not viable, the hospitals refused to provide the emergency care she needed. Ms. Farmer was forced to seek care elsewhere, and although she did receive the care she needed from an abortion clinic, Ms. Farmer suffered immense physical, mental, emotional, and financial harm. See Administrative Complaint, Mylissa Farmer v. Freedman Health (Nov. 8, 2022); https://nwlc.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/2022.11.08-Mylissa-Farmer-EMTALA-complaint.pdf.

Feminist Majority Foundation

Guttmacher Institute

Hadassah, The Women's Zionist Organization of America

Human Rights Campaign

Ibis Reproductive Health

In Our Own Voice: National Black Women's Reproductive Justice Agenda

Indivisible

Institute for Women's Policy Research

International Center for Research on Women

Jacobs Institute of Women's Health

Justice and Joy National Collaborative

Legal Momentum, The Women's Legal Defense & Education Fund

**MomsRising** 

National Abortion Federation

National Asian Pacific American Women's Forum

National Council of Jewish Women

National ERA Publication Task Force

National Health Law Program

National Latina Institute for Reproductive Justice

National Network of Abortion Funds

National Organization for Women

National Partnership for Women & Families

National Women's Political Caucus

National Women's Law Center

Northwest Health Law Advocates

People Power United

Physicians for Reproductive Health

Planned Parenthood Federation of America

Population Connection Action Fund

Population Institute

Positive Women's Network-USA

Power to Decide

Reframe Health and Justice

Reproductive Freedom for All

RootsAction

**Rural Organizing** 

SiX Action

True North Research

UCSF Bixby Center for Global Reproductive Health

UltraViolet Action

Union for Reform Judaism

URGE: Unite for Reproductive & Gender Equity

Women of Reform Judaism

Women's Foundation of Florida